# SeaDataNet NetCDF for Point Data Roy Lowry

British Oceanographic Data Centre

#### **Presentation Overview**

- What are NetCDF and CF?
- SeaDataNet profiling of CF1.6
- Interoperability
- Parameter Naming
- Mapping data types onto SeaDataNet NetCDF
- Further profiling of SeaDataNet NetCDF

#### NetCDF

- Network Common Data Form (NetCDF) is an interface for array-oriented data access and a library that provides an implementation of the interface.
- What does this mean?
  - NetCDF is a data model rather than a data format
  - Data in NetCDF are accessed by API or tools calling that API

## NetCDF

- Practical points
  - Binary data format
  - Details of underlying format depends upon API library version (NetCDF3, NetCDF4, HDF5)
  - API initially developed for C and Fortran
  - Matlab and Java now available
  - NetCDF developed and supported by UniData in Boulder Colorado

# **CF** Conventions

- Climate and Forecast Metadata Conventions (CF) is a 'profile' of the NetCDF data model designed to enhance data interoperability
- Developed by the global atmosphere-ocean coupled modelling community to provide interoperability for IPCC intercomparisons
- Governed on an open community model based around e-mail list server and Trac moderated by a committee with voting rights (should they ever be needed)
- Adopted by SeaDataNet

# **CF** Conventions

- A 'profile' reduces the number of degrees of freedom in a data model by:
  - Modelling the model
    - CF concepts of co-ordinate, geophysical and ancillary variables
    - Global and parameter attribute naming and status (mandatory, conditional, recommended)
    - Establishing rules (e.g. Geophysical variable must be linked to at least one co-ordinate variable)
  - Standardising semantics through controlled vocabularies
    - Standard Names
    - Cell Methods

## **CF** Conventions

- CF developed by GCM modelling community
  - Versions 1.0 to 1.5 only supported gridded data
  - Strong atmospheric science bias in the design principles and the semantic resources like Standard Names
- CF 1.6 developed by John Carron of UniData to support 'point data'
- CF1.6 based on the concept of 'feature type' defined by co-ordinate variable patterns

- Two types of NetCDF used in SeaDataNet
  - CF 1.5 profile for gridded data (L24 = CF) largely out of scope for this presentation
    - CF1.5 plus SeaDataNet Po1/Po6 parameter attributes and metadata linkage arrays (SDN\_CRUISE, SDN\_STATION, SDN\_LOCAL\_CDI\_ID and SDN\_EDMO\_CODE) from CFPOINT
  - CF 1.6 profile for point data (L24 = CFPOINT)
- CFPOINT profile modifies CF 1.6 as follows
  - Point feature type excluded
  - Additional conventions
  - Additional mandatory attributes and metadata arrays
  - Additional optional attributes and metadata arrays

- Additional conventions
  - Representation of time is UT expressed as Chronological Julian Day
  - Representation of position is actual or assumed EPSG4326 (WGS-84 2D geographic co-ordinates)
  - Mandatory depth/height for time series
  - Names of x, y and z co-ordinate variables are fixed
  - 'Position' SeaDataNet QC flag ancillary variable for latitude+longitude
  - SeaDataNet QC flag ancillary variables for all other coordinate and geophysical variables named 'variable\_SEADATANET\_QC'

Mandatory extensions

- Parameter attributes
  - sdn\_parameter\_urn (Po1 URN)
  - sdn\_parameter\_name (Poi entryTerm)
  - sdn\_uom\_urn (Po6 URN)
  - sdn\_uom\_name (Po6 entryTerm)

Mandatory extensions

- Metadata arrays
  - crs (EPSG4326 declaration)
  - SDN\_CRUISE (grouping label e.g. cruise for profiles)
  - SDN\_STATION (series label e.g. profile name)
  - SDN\_LOCAL\_CDI\_ID (local CDI identifier)
  - SDN\_EDMO\_CODE (namespace for local CDI)

- Optional extensions
  - Parameter attributes
    - sdn\_instrument\_urn (L22 URN)
    - sdn\_instrument\_name (L22 entryTerm)
  - Metadata arrays
    - SDN\_BOT\_DEPTH (water depth: mandatory for profiles)
    - SDN\_REFERENCES (single XHTML URI backwards compatibility)
    - SDN\_XLINK (multiple XML/XHTML document linkages following XLINK)

## Interoperability

- Design Principle
  - Interoperability through duplication
- Examples
  - Multilingual signs
  - CF Standard Names AND Po1 URNs in SeaDataNet NetCDF



# Interoperability

- Flagging conventions are a notorious area of noninteroperability
  - SeaDataNet
  - OceanSites
  - ODV
  - ARGO
  - QARTOD
  - IODE

• 16 conventions documented in L27 vocabulary

## Interoperability

- One solution is to attach multiple CF ancillary variable arrays to each co-ordinate or geophysical variable
- Shifts the load from the data consumer to the data provider
- If mapping is involved the data provider is more likely to get it right!
- SeaDataNet NetCDF specification is the LOWEST COMMON DENOMINATOR
- Embellishment encouraged is it make the data more interoperable

## **Parameter Naming**

- CF specifies two parameter attributes for naming each parameter
  - Standard Name (controlled vocabulary)
  - Long Name (free text)
- Standard Names have issues
  - Coverage atmospheric rather than oceanographic
  - Content governance is out of SeaDataNet control and broken

## **Parameter Naming**

- SeaDataNet solution
  - Make the Standard Name optional, but strongly recommend its use for the sake of interoperability
  - Therefore Long Name is mandatory (CF rules)
  - Add SeaDataNet namespace parameter attributes
    - sdn\_parameter\_urn (Poi URN)
    - sdn\_parameter\_name (Poi entryTerm)
    - sdn\_uom\_urn (Po6 URN)
    - sdn\_uom\_name (Po6 entryTerm)

#### **Parameter Naming**

- SeaDataNet vocabulary URNs
  - Syntax is 'SDN:' || Library || '::' || ConceptID
  - Example SDN:Po1::TEMPPRo1
- SeaDataNet names
  - Concept entryTerm
  - Example Temperature of the water body
- Likewise for units (Po6 vocabulary) and instruments (L22 vocabulary)

- There are five types of SeaDataNet NetCDF file
- Correspond to the CF 1.6 Feature Types
  - profile
  - timeSeries
  - trajectory
  - timeSeriesProfile
  - trajectoryProfile
- Data need to be mapped onto one of these
- INSTANCE dimension for CF1.6 compatibility
  - Allows multiple series per file
  - Set to 1 for normal SeaDataNet objects

- profile
  - Measurements in one place, one time, many depths
  - Examples are CTD, XBT, bottle data, radiosondes, etc.
  - SeaDataNet considers z co-ordinate to always be spatial for profiles (depth, pressure, height)

- timeSeries
  - Measurements in one place, one depth, but at many different times
  - Examples are recording current meter record, seafloor pressure gauge, moored temperature logger
  - SeaDataNet requires a height/depth co-ordinate variable with one value per series (nominal covering all time steps)
  - Also possible to include pressure or depth as a geophysical variable (value for each time step), but this is NOT a co-ordinate variable

- trajectory
  - Like timeSeries but measurements in a different place for each time step
  - Can be 2D or 3D. Either can have a depth/height/pressure co-ordinate variable with one value per time step
  - Only one z co-ordinate value per time step
  - Examples are thermosalinograph or AUV-mounted CTD data

- timeSeriesProfile and trajectoryProfile
  - Conceptually a little more difficult
    - Think of timeSeries or trajectory as referring to the platform
    - Tagged onto these are
      - Profile z co-ordinates for each time step
      - Data values for each profile z co-ordinate value at each time step
      - Profile z co-ordinates can be spatial (e.g. ADCP bin depth) but non-spatial parameters (e.g. wavelength) are also permitted

- timeSeriesProfile
  - Platform is in the same place for every time step
  - One depth value per series required
  - Depth array (INSTANCE, MAXT) with one value per time step permitted as a geophysical variable
  - Example is moored ADCP data
    - PROFZ profile Z co-ordinate array (INSTANCE, MAXT, MAXZ) contains bin depths
    - Geophysical variables
      - For each bin e.g. current velocity (INSTANCE, MAXT, MAXZ)
      - One per time step e.g. instrument water temperature sensor (INSTANCE,MAXT)
  - Also fits thermistor chains, particle sizer time series and spectral radiometer time series

- trajectoryProfile
  - Platform in a different place for each time step
  - Platform latitude, longitude and depth (INSTANCE, MAXT) arrays (so will cope with AUV ADCP data)
  - Example is vessel-mounted ADCP data
    - PROFZ profile Z co-ordinate array (INSTANCE, MAXT, MAXZ) contains bin depths
    - Geophysical variables
      - For each bin e.g. current velocity (INSTANCE, MAXT, MAXZ)
      - One per time step e.g. bottom-tracking data (INSTANCE,MAXT)
  - Also fits towed thermistor chains, AUV-mounted optical plankton counter or particle sizer

- Introducing a little bit of controversy
  - The ODV extension for biological data introduces the idea of species as a dimension
  - Therefore PROFZ could be a LSID or numeric equivalent such AphiaID
  - A zoobenthos biomass survey could therefore be mapped onto trajectoryProfile SeaDataNet NetCDF
  - Biological data in a binary format!!!
  - Would need an Excel import tool for it to be accepted

# SeaDataNet NetCDF Profiling

- SeaDataNet format specification is a lowest common denominator
- Further restrictions and extensions may be specified to cover requirements for specific types of data
- For example:
  - Making geophysical variables mandatory
    - Platform velocity required for relative wind direction data
  - Mandatory data-type specific metadata
    - SDN\_XLINK in XBT data to the MO C3 vocabulary to provide access to the drop rate equation
    - SDN\_XLINK in cruise data to CSR document providing access to information like PSO name

## SeaDataNet NetCDF Profiling

- Nemo software already profiles the basic SeaDataNet specification through the inclusion of additional global attributes
- Should a manual documenting SeaDataNet format for every type of oceanographic data (CTD, moored ADCP, VMADCP, etc.) be produced?
  - Requires extensive domain knowledge
  - Stretches capabilities of technical expert groups like SeaDataNet TTT
  - Would have to be produced by user communities

## SeaDataNet NetCDF Profiling

- Documenting specific data types
  - A better approach might be:
    - Identify community best practice
    - Capture it
    - Build a library of example data files

#### That's All Folks!!